arXiv:1410.1487v1 [math.SP] 6 Oct 2014

Spectral properties and self-adjoint extensions of the third power of the radial Laplace operator

T. A. Bolokhov

St.Petersburg Department of V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute
Russian Academy of Sciences
27 Fontanka, St. Petersburg, Russia 191023

Abstract

We consider self-adjoint extensions of differential operators of the type $(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})^3$ on the real semi-axis for $l=1,2$ with two kinds of boundary conditions: first that nullify the value of a function and its first derivative and second that nullify the 4th ($l=1$) or the 3rd ($l=2$) derivative. We calculate the expressions for the correponding resolvents and derive spectral decompositions. These types of boundary conditions are interesting from the physical point of view, especially the second ones, which give an example of emergence of long-range action in exchange for a singularity at the origin.

1 Introduction

The problem of calculation of square root of the sixth-order differential operators of the type

$$T_l^3 = \big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^3, \quad l=1,2,\ldots, \tag{1}$$

defined on the real semi-axis appears in the construction of the quantum states of a free transverse field in spherical coordinates. Of particular interest is the action of these operators in the presence of specific boundary conditions. More precisely, domain of operator is suggested to consist of the functions which are regular with a factor of r^{-2} — that is functions which vanish at the origin along with their first derivatives, or of the functions whose fourth ($l=1$) or third ($l=2$) derivative vanish at the origin. We argue that with either choice of the boundary conditions symmetric operator (1) has non-trivial self-adjoint extensions. We construct the expressions for the resolvent kernels and find the corresponding spectral projectors and densities of spectral decompositions.

As concluding remarks, we discuss basic properties of the resulting spectral densities and provide some motivation for our specific choices of boundary conditions.

Operators similar to (1) but of the fourth order were studied in [1], whereby the corresponding formulas of their connection to the transverse Laplace operator in the spherical coordinates are given. We extensively exploit the latter technique for studying the boundary conditions of solutions of the corresponding differential equations.

2 Boundary conditions and symmetricity

The differential operation (1) defines a symmetric operator on the set of sufficiently smooth square integrable functions vanishing at zero along with their first five derivatives

$$\mathcal{W}_0^6 = \{u(r) : \int (|u(r)|^2 + |u^{(6)}(r)|^2)\, dr < \infty, \quad u(0) = u'(0) = \dots u^{(5)}(0) = 0\}. \tag{2}$$

Let us try to enlarge this set and find adequate boundary conditions such that formal symmetricity of the operator (1) stays intact. Define

$$B = \int_0^\infty u(r) T_l^3 \bar{v}(r) dr - \int_0^\infty T_l^3 u(r) \bar{v}(r) dr,$$

where T_l as above is a differential operation of the second order

$$T_l = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}$$

(we are not specifying its domain yet), while $u(r)$ and $v(r)$ are some smooth functions which satisfy the integrability conditions of (2). Then formal symmetricity of the operator defined by (1) requires that $B = 0$. A straightforward calculation for it the following expresssion

$$B = \big(\frac{3L}{r^4}(L-8)(u\bar{v}' - u'\bar{v}) + \frac{6L}{r^3}(u\bar{v}'' - u''\bar{v}) + \frac{3L}{r^2}(u'\bar{v}'' - u''\bar{v}' + u'''\bar{v} - u\bar{v}''') + \\ + u\bar{v}^{(5)} - u^{(5)}\bar{v} + u''\bar{v}''' - u'''\bar{v}'' - u'\bar{v}^{(4)} + u^{(4)}\bar{v}'\big)\big|_{r=0}, \quad L = l(l+1). \tag{3}$$

We dropped here the value at infinity due to decrease of functions $u(r)$ and $v(r)$ along with their derivatives. The factors that multiply the powers r^{-4},

r^{-3}, r^{-2} in (3) depend on r themselves. In order to have B vanishing, one is to expand those factors in powers of r, substitute them into B and then force all coefficients of non-decreasing powers to zero. Let us define the expansions of $u(r)$ and $v(r)$ as

$$u(r) = u(0) + \sum_{j\geq 1} u^{(j)}(0)\frac{r^j}{j!}, \quad v(r) = v(0) + \sum_{j\geq 1} v^{(j)}(0)\frac{r^j}{j!},$$

and denote

$$\omega^{kj} = u^{(k)}(0)\bar{v}^{(j)}(0) - u^{(j)}(0)\bar{v}^{(k)}(0)\,.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
u(r)\bar{v}'(r) - u'(r)\bar{v}(r) &= \omega^{01} + \omega^{02}r + (\omega^{03}+\omega^{12})\frac{r^2}{2} + (\omega^{04}+2\omega^{13})\frac{r^3}{6} + \\
&+ (\omega^{05}+3\omega^{14}+2\omega^{23})\frac{r^4}{24} + \dots, \\
u(r)\bar{v}''(r) - u''(r)\bar{v}(r) &= \omega^{02} + (\omega^{03}+\omega^{12})r + (\omega^{04}+2\omega^{13})\frac{r^2}{2} + \\
&+ (\omega^{05}+3\omega^{14}+2\omega^{23})\frac{r^3}{6} + \dots, \\
u'(r)\bar{v}''(r) - u''(r)\bar{v}'(r) + u'''(r)\bar{v}(r) - u(r)\bar{v}'''(r) &= \\
&= \omega^{12} - \omega^{03} - \omega^{04}r - (\omega^{14}+\omega^{05})\frac{r^2}{2} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these formulas into (3) we find the following expansions for B: for $l = 1$

$$B = -\frac{36\omega^{01}}{r^4} - \frac{24\omega^{02}}{r^3} - \frac{12\omega^{03}}{r^2} - \frac{6\omega^{04}}{r} - \frac{3}{2}\omega^{05} - \frac{5}{2}\omega^{14} + 8\omega^{23}, \qquad (4)$$

and for $l = 2$

$$B = -\frac{36\omega^{01}}{r^4} + \frac{36\omega^{12}}{r^2} + \frac{24\omega^{13} - 6\omega^{04}}{r} - \frac{7}{2}\omega^{05} + \frac{7}{2}\omega^{14} + 16\omega^{23}. \qquad (5)$$

From this we conclude that the operator T_l^3 retains its symmetricity for boundary conditions of the type

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad \kappa u''(0) = u'''(0), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \qquad (6)$$

(reality of κ follows from the conjugation acting on v), *i. e.* when acting on the subspace

$$\mathcal{W}^1_\kappa = \{u(r) : \int (|u(r)|^2 + |u^{(6)}(r)|^2)\, dr < \infty, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad \kappa u''(0) = u'''(0)\}. \tag{7}$$

We shall denote the extended operators $T^3_{l1\kappa}$. Another type of boundary conditions which we use below demands vanishing of the fourth derivative for $l = 1$

$$u(0) = u^{(4)}(0) = 0, \quad \kappa u''(0) = u'''(0), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{8}$$

and third derivative for $l = 2$

$$u'(0) = u'''(0) = u^{(4)}(0) = 0, \quad \kappa^5 u(0) = u^{(5)}(0), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9}$$

They induce symmetric operators $T^3_{12\kappa}$ on the set

$$\mathcal{W}^{21}_\kappa = \{u(r) : \int (|u(r)|^2 + |u^{(6)}(r)|^2)\, dr < \infty, \\ u(0) = u^{(4)}(0) = 0, \quad \kappa u''(0) = u'''(0)\} \tag{10}$$

and $T^3_{22\kappa}$ on the set

$$\mathcal{W}^{22}_\kappa = \{u(r) : \int (|u(r)|^2 + |u^{(6)}(r)|^2)\, dr < \infty, \\ u(0) = u'''(0) = u^{(4)}(0) = 0, \quad \kappa^5 u(0) = u^{(5)}(0)\}, \tag{11}$$

correspondingly. Here and in what follows we tag objects (such as $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$) related to the boundary conditions (6) with an index $\xi = 1$, and those related to the conditions (8) for $l = 1$, or to (9) for $l = 2$, with an index $\xi = 2$.

Note that we have introduced an additional condition

$$\frac{d^4 u}{dr^4}\Big|_{r=0} = 0$$

in expression (9) in order to set the coefficient $6\omega^{04}$ at the power r^{-1} in (5) to zero. At first glance this seems like too strong a restriction. However, for the class of function we are going to deal with, this condition will automatically follow from the regularity of the behaviour of $u(r)$ at zero, which is necessary for the integrability with square of the latter.

3 Properties of the spherical Bessel functions

The deficiency indices of the symmetric operator generated by the action of T_l^3 on the subspace $\mathcal{W}_0^6$ coincide with the corresponding dimensions of the kernels of the adjoint operators

$$(T_l^3 \pm i\rho^6)^*, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

In order for us to find these kernels we need a way to construct solutions of the corresponding differential equations. With this in mind, we provide some basic aspects of the theory of spherical Bessel functions (see e. g. [2]).

Let D_l be a linear differential operation with the action

$$D_l w(r) = r^{l+1}\big(\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\big)^l \frac{w}{r}. \tag{12}$$

Then, by means of mathematical induction, one can derive the following equality (a kind of Rayleigh's formula)

$$T_l D_l w(r) = D_l T_0 w(r) = -D_l \frac{d^2 w}{dr^2}. \tag{13}$$

We observe that the solutions of equations involving T_l^3 can be constructed from the solutions of equations with the 6th order derivative operator

$$T_0^3 = -\frac{d^6}{dx^6}.$$

Another remarkable property of the operation D_l is that the main term of the asymptotic of the result at infinity is just l-th derivative

$$D_l w(r) = \frac{d^l w(r)}{dr^l} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{w(r)}{r}), \quad r \to \infty. \tag{14}$$

The behaviour of functions of the type (12) near zero can be described starting from the action of D_l upon the monomials

$$D_l r^k = (k-2l+1)\dots(k-1)r^{k-l}.$$

If some function $w(r)$ can be expanded at $r \to 0$ into

$$w(r) = w_0 + w_1 r + \dots + w_7 \frac{r^7}{7!} + \mathcal{O}(r^8),$$

then

$$D_1 w(r) = -\frac{w_0}{r} + w_2\frac{r}{2} + w_3\frac{r^2}{3} + w_4\frac{r^3}{8} + w_5\frac{r^4}{30} + w_6\frac{r^5}{144} + \mathcal{O}(r^6), \qquad (15)$$

$$D_2 w(r) = \frac{3w_0}{r^2} - \frac{w_2}{2} + w_4\frac{r^2}{8} + w_5\frac{r^3}{15} + w_6\frac{r^4}{48} + w_7\frac{r^5}{210} + \mathcal{O}(r^6), \qquad (16)$$

$$D_3 w(r) = -w_0\frac{15}{r^3} + w_2\frac{3}{2r} - w_4\frac{r}{8} + w_6\frac{r^3}{48} + w_7\frac{r^4}{105} + \mathcal{O}(r^4).$$

This shows that the operation D_l lowers the power of a function by l, while at the same time turning l of its coefficients to zero. Thus we find, that some of the conditions (6), (8), (9) are automatically satisfied for regular functions. Precisely, these ones

$$u(0) = 0, \quad l = 1; \quad u'(0) = 0, \quad u(r)r = 0, \quad l = 2.$$

In general case one also has to check that operation D_l, when applied to a set of linearly independent functions, yields a linearly independent set again. For exponents with different periods, which will arise below, this is obvious, and we shall not stop on this question in detail.

4 Solutions of the differential equations and the deficiency indices

We now exploit the above formulas in order to describe kernels of the adjoint operators, which are square integrable solutions of the sixth order equations

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \mp i\rho^6\big)^3 q_{l\pm}(r) = (T_l^3 \mp i\rho^6) q_{l\pm}(r) = 0. \qquad (17)$$

Equation (13) when applied to the exponents

$$w_\pm^k = \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12} \mp i\frac{\pi k}{3}}\rho r\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots 5$$

gives

$$T_l^3 D_l \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12} \mp i\frac{\pi k}{3}}\rho r\} = D_l T_0^3 \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12} \mp i\frac{\pi k}{3}}\rho r\} = \\ = \pm i\rho^6 D_l \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12} \mp i\frac{\pi k}{3}}\rho r\}.$$

Functions $D_l \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12}\mp i\frac{\pi k}{3}}\rho r\}$ grow exponentially at infinity for $k = 0, 1, 5$. The rest three solutions can be grouped into such linear combinations

$$q^{\xi}_{l\pm} = D_l\big(a^{\xi}_{\pm}\exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\rho r\} + b^{\xi}_{\pm}\exp\{-e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12}}\rho r\} + c^{\xi}_{\pm}\exp\{-e^{\mp i\frac{5\pi}{12}}\rho r\}\big), \quad (18)$$

which are regular at zero and satisfy the boundary conditions (6), (8), (9) for the corresponding ξ and l. In particular, the solutions

$$q^{1}_{l\pm} = D_l\big(\exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\rho r\} + e^{\mp i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\exp\{-e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12}}\rho r\} + e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\exp\{-e^{\mp i\frac{5\pi}{12}}\rho r\}\big)$$

satisfy the boundary conditions (6), while the solutions

$$q^{2}_{l\pm} = D_l\big(e^{\mp i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\rho r\} + \sqrt{3}\exp\{-e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12}}\rho r\} + e^{\pm i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\exp\{-e^{\mp i\frac{5\pi}{12}}\rho r\}\big)$$

obey the boundary conditions (8) for $l = 1$ and (9) for $l = 2$.

The solutions $q^{1}_{l\pm}(r)$ and $q^{2}_{l\pm}(r)$ for fixed l and sign index are linearly independent. The condition of integrability with square demands the absence of negative powers in the expansion in r near zero. This, according to (15), (16), forces the vanishing of the sum of coefficients a, b, c from the general expression (18). In other words, one non-trivial linear constraint is imposed upon the linear subspace formed by the three functions vanishing at infinity

$$D_l \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12}\mp i\frac{\pi k}{3}}\rho r\}, \quad k = 2, 3, 4.$$

This means that the linear span of functions $q^{1}_{l\pm}(r)$ and $q^{2}_{l\pm}(r)$ for fixed l and sign index saturates all square-integrable solutions of equations (17) — that is, the whole kernel of the corresponding adjoint operator

$$\ker(T^3_l \pm i\rho^6)^* = \{\alpha q^{1}_{l\pm}(r) + \beta q^{2}_{l\pm}(r), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Therefore, we find that the deficiency indices of the operators T^3_l at $l = 1, 2$ are (2, 2).

In the case of $l = 3$ the symmetric operator T^3_l has one-dimensional subspaces of the solutions of equations (17), that is, its dificiency indices are $(1, 1)$. The domains of self-adjoint solutions of this operator, however, do not allow one to impose extra conditions of the type (6), (8), (9), which would present physical interest, and so we shall not dwell on this case here. For values of the angular momentum l greater than 3 self-adjoint extensions for T^3_l are absent altogether.

Let us now come back to the vanishing of the fourth derivative in the boundary condition (9). All functions under consideration below consist of three or four exponents taken with coefficients the sum of which is zero, with the operation D_l applied on top, *i. e.* they are of the form

$$D_l\big(\sum_k a_k \exp\{e_k\chi r\}\big), \quad \sum_k a_k = 0, \quad e_k^6 = 1. \tag{19}$$

It is obvious that the expansion in r of such a sum of exponents under D_l misses all powers multiple of 6. After the operation D_l is applied, that expansion will miss all powers equal to $6-l$ modulo 6, including, in particular, the fifth power for $l = 1$ and the fourth power for $l = 2$. This property remains valid for a sum or integral of such functions taken with regular weights. So in our further discussion we shall not demand the vanishing of the fourth derivative in the boundary conditions (9).

5 Extensions of symmetric operator and essential self-adjointness

Since the basis $q^1_{l\pm}(r)$, $q^2_{l\pm}(r)$ has a non-trivial irrational normalization with heavy coefficients, construction of a general self-adjoint extension for T^3_l via Cayley transform presents quite a computational problem. For that reason we shall restrict ourselves with an argument that the symmmetric operators $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ defined above are essentially self-adjoint (see the books [3], [4] for the theory of self-adjoint extensions). It follows from the properties of an adjoint operator and from the definition of an extension that

$$(T^3_{l\xi\kappa} \pm i\rho^6)^* \subset (T^3_l \pm i\rho^6)^*,$$

and, in particular, that

$$\ker(T^3_{l\xi\kappa} \pm i\rho^6)^* \subset \ker(T^3_l \pm i\rho^6)^*.$$

That is, in order to prove the essential self-adjointness of $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ it suffices to show that neither of the functions $q^1_{l\pm}(r)$, $q^2_{l\pm}(r)$ is in the kernel

$$\ker(T^3_{l\xi\kappa} \pm i\rho^6)^*. \tag{20}$$

For the case $l = 1$ this can be achieved by presenting quite an arbitrary sample function $p(r)$ from the domain of $T^3_{1\xi\kappa}$, *i. e.* from (7), (10), with only second and third derivatives non-vanishing at zero

$$p(0) = p'(0) = p^{(4)}(0) = p^{(5)}(0) = 0, \quad p'''(0) = \kappa p''(0) \neq 0.$$

Integration by parts allows one to cast in the scalar product the differential operation onto $q^{1,2}_{l\pm}(r)$, while in the process the boundary terms do not cancel due to the presence of a non-zero imaginary part of $\pm i\rho^6$ (here one has to use the expansion (15) for $q(r)$):

$$\begin{aligned}\big((T^3_{1\xi\kappa} \pm i\rho^6)^* q^j_{1\pm}, p\big) &= \int_0^\infty q^j_{1\pm}(r)\,(T^3_1 \mp i\rho^6)\bar{p}(r)dr = \\ &= \int_0^\infty (T^3_1 \mp i\rho^6) q^j_{1\pm}(r)\,\bar{p}(r)dr + 8\big(q''_{1\pm}(0)\bar{p}'''(0) - q'''_{1\pm}(0)\bar{p}''(0)\big) = \\ &= 8\big(\frac{4}{3}e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{12}}\rho^3\kappa + \frac{3}{4}\rho^4\big)\bar{p}''(0) \neq 0, \quad j = 1, \\ &= 8\big(\frac{4}{3}e^{\pm i\frac{7\pi}{12}}\rho^3\kappa + \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{3}e^{\mp i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\rho^4\big)\bar{p}''(0) \neq 0, \quad j = 2.\end{aligned}$$

Here ρ^6 is assumed to be real, although the above inequalities hold for any $|\arg\rho^6| < \pi/2$, *i. e.* for any $i\rho^6$ in the upper half-plane. Similar results are also valid for the $l = 2$ case. This yields that functions $q^{1,2}_{l\pm}(r)$ do not belong to the kernels of the adjoint operators (20), which implies that the latter kernels are empty, and hence, operators $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ are essentially self-adjoint.

6 Resolvent kernel

The resolvent kernel is a universal tool for constructing spectral projectors and functional calculus of operators (see, *e. g.* [5]).

We shall look for the resolvent kernel $R(r, s; z)$ of the operator $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$, in form of a function which obeys the differential equation

$$\big((-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})^3 - z^6\big)R(r, s; z) = \delta(r - s), \quad 0 < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{3}, \qquad (21)$$

as well as the boundary conditions (6), (8) or (9), while being symmetric in the arguments r and s, and exponentially vanishing at infinity in these arguments. The functions

$$D_l \exp\{ie^{i\pi k/3}zr\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots 5$$

satisfy the homogeneous equation

$$(T_l^3 - z^6)\, D_l \exp\{ie^{i\pi k/3} zr\} = 0. \tag{22}$$

In this section we assume that T_l and T_l^3 are just differential operations without specific domain. Let us denote the three vanishing solutions of the above equation as

$$g_k(z,r) = D_l \exp\{ie^{i\pi k/3} zr\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

We relate to each solution $g_k(z,r)$ a growing solution

$$d_k(z,r) = D_l \exp\{-ie^{i\pi k/3} zr\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2,$$

in such a way that each pair g_k and d_k satisfies one and the same second-order equation

$$(T_l - e^{2\pi ik/3} z^2)\, g_k(z,r) = 0, \quad (T_l - e^{2\pi ik/3} z^2)\, d_k(z,r) = 0. \tag{23}$$

Let us now construct three solutions $h_k(z,r)$ of homogeneous equation (22), which would satisfy the corresponding boundary conditions (6), (8) or (9), in such a manner that the main terms of their asymptotics at infinity would match $d_k(r)$

$$h_0(r) = d_0(r) + \alpha_0 g_0(r) + \beta_0 g_1(r) + \gamma_0 g_2(r), \tag{24}$$
$$h_1(r) = d_1(r) + \alpha_1 g_1(r) + \beta_1 g_2(r) + \gamma_1 g_0(r), \tag{25}$$
$$h_2(r) = d_2(r) + \alpha_2 g_2(r) + \beta_2 g_0(r) + \gamma_2 g_1(r). \tag{26}$$

For each set of three coefficients α_k, β_k, γ_k (with fixed ξ and l), there are three linear boundary conditions. Therefore, in a generic case, these coefficients exist and are unique. Direct calculations verify this and give, for the boundary conditions $\xi = 1$ and $l = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_0 = (2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa - 3z)/p_1, && \beta_0 = 2e^{\frac{7\pi}{6}}\kappa/p_1, && \gamma_0 = 2e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa/p_1,\\
&\alpha_1 = -3z/p_1, && \beta_1 = 2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa/p_1, && \gamma_1 = -2i\kappa/p_1,\\
&\alpha_2 = -(2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa + 3z)/p_1, && \beta_2 = 2i\kappa/p_1, && \gamma_2 = 2e^{i\frac{7\pi}{6}}\kappa/p_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_1(z) = 3z + 2e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa,$$

and for the angular momentum $l = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_0 = (3e^{i\frac{7\pi}{6}}\kappa - 3e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z)/p_2, && \beta_0 = 2e^{i\frac{2\pi}{6}}z/p_2, && \gamma_0 = -2z/p_2,\\
&\alpha_1 = 3e^{i\frac{7\pi}{6}}\kappa/p_2, && \beta_1 = 2e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z/p_2, && \gamma_1 = -2e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z/p_2,\\
&\alpha_2 = (3e^{i\frac{7\pi}{6}}\kappa + 2e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z)/p_2, && \beta_2 = -2z/p_2, && \gamma_2 = -2e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z/p_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_2(z) = 2z + 3e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa.$$

In the case of the boundary conditions $\xi = 2$, $l = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_0 = (z - 2e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa)/p_1, && \beta_0 = -2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z + e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa)/p_1, && \gamma_0 = 2(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z + e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa)/p_1,\\
&\alpha_1 = -3z/p_1, && \beta_1 = 2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa)/p_1, && \gamma_1 = -2(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z + i\kappa)/p_1,\\
&\alpha_2 = (z + 2i\kappa)/p_1, && \beta_2 = -2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z + i\kappa)/p_1, && \gamma_2 = 2(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa)/p_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_1(z) = z + 2e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa,$$

and for the angular momentum $l = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_0 = (2e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa^5 - z^5)/p_2, && \beta_0 = 2(z^5 - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa^5)/p_2, && \gamma_0 = -2(z^5 + e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa^5)/p_2,\\
&\alpha_1 = 3z^5/p_2, && \beta_1 = -2(z^5 + i\kappa^5)/p_2, && \gamma_1 = 2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa^5 - z^5)/p_2,\\
&\alpha_2 = -(z^5 + 2i\kappa^5)/p_2, && \beta_2 = -2(z^5 + e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa^5)/p_2, && \gamma_2 = 2(z^5 + i\kappa^5)/p_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_2(z) = z^5 + 2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa^5.$$

Functions h_k and g_k introduced this way can be used to construct the resolvent

$$\begin{aligned}
R(r,s;z) = {} & \frac{1}{3z^4W_0}\big(h_0(r)g_0(s)\theta(s-r) + h_0(s)g_0(r)\theta(r-s)\big) + \\
& + \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}}{3z^4W_1}\big(h_1(r)g_1(s)\theta(s-r) + h_1(s)g_1(r)\theta(r-s)\big) + \\
& + \frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}}{3z^4W_2}\big(h_2(r)g_2(s)\theta(s-r) + h_2(s)g_2(r)\theta(r-s)\big), \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where W_k are the Wronskians

$$W_k(z) = d_k'g_k - d_kg_k'.$$

Related equations (23) ensure that W_k do not depend on r and can be calculated *e. g.* at infinity using expression (14)

$$l = 1: \qquad W_0 = -2iz^3, \qquad W_1 = 2iz^3, \qquad W_2 = -2iz^3, \tag{28}$$

$$l = 2: \qquad W_0 = -2iz^5, \qquad W_1 = 2ie^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^5, \qquad W_2 = 2ie^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z^5. \tag{29}$$

Symmetry of $R(r, s; z)$ in arguments r and s is evident, while the boundary conditions at zero and at infinity follow from the construction of functions h_k and g_k. In order to test differential equation (21) one can substitute the expressions for h_k from (24)–(26) and then split R into four parts

$$R = R_0 + R_1 + R_2 + R_g.$$

The first three parts contain every term that has growing solution d_k

$$R_k = \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi k}{3}}}{3z^4 W_k}\big(d_k(r)g_k(s)\theta(s-r) + d_k(s)g_k(r)\theta(r-s)\big), \tag{30}$$

while the last one includes only vanishing terms g_k

$$\begin{aligned}
R_g = \frac{1}{3z^4}\Big(&\sum_k \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi k}{3}}}{W_k}\alpha_k g_k(r)g_k(s) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{W_0}\big((\beta_0 g_1(r) + \gamma_0 g_2(r))g_0(s)\theta(s-r) + (\beta_0 g_1(s) + \gamma_0 g_2(s))g_0(r)\theta(r-s)\big) \\
&+ \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}}{W_1}\big((\beta_1 g_2(r) + \gamma_1 g_0(r))g_1(s)\theta(s-r) + (\beta_1 g_2(s) + \gamma_1 g_0(s))g_1(r)\theta(r-s)\big) \\
&+ \frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}}{W_2}\big((\beta_2 g_0(r) + \gamma_2 g_1(r))g_2(s)\theta(s-r) + (\beta_2 g_0(s) + \gamma_2 g_1(s))g_2(r)\theta(r-s)\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have re-grouped the first line using the relation

$$\theta(r-s) + \theta(s-r) = 1 \tag{31}$$

for the Heaviside step function. Performing a direct substitution, we determine that the following relations hold for all ξ and l, and independently of z

$$\frac{\beta_0(z)}{W_0(z)} = \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\gamma_1(z)}{W_1(z)}, \quad \frac{\gamma_0(z)}{W_0(Z)} = \frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}\beta_2(z)}{W_2()}, \quad \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\beta_1(z)}{W_1(z)} = \frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}\gamma_2(z)}{W_2(z)}.$$

Collecting θ-functions with the same coefficients we find the following expression for R_g

$$R_g = \frac{1}{3z^4}\sum_k \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi k}{3}}}{W_k}\alpha_k g_k(r)g_k(s) + \frac{\beta_0}{3z^4W_0}\big(g_1(r)g_0(s)+g_0(r)g_1(s)\big)+ \quad (32)$$
$$+\frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\beta_1}{3z^4W_1}\big(g_2(r)g_1(s)+g_1(r)g_2(s)\big)+\frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}\beta_2}{3z^4W_2}\big(g_0(r)g_2(s)+g_2(r)g_0(s)\big).$$

This is a smooth and symmetric function in r and s, and it obviously satisfies the homogeneous equation

$$(T_l^3 - z^6)R_g(r,s;z) = 0.$$

Functions R_k have the standard form of resolvents of second-order operators constructed from the solutions of equations (23) (with certain boundary conditions, the form of which is not important in this case). For this reason they satisfy the second-order differential equations

$$(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi k}{3}}z^2)R_k(r,s;z) = \frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi k}{3}}}{3z^4}\delta(r-s).$$

Splitting the third-power polynomial $T_l^3 - z^6$ into *commuting* factors

$$(T_l^3 - z^6) = (T_l - z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}z^2),$$

we can use the latter three equations to write

$$\begin{aligned}(T_l^3 - z^6)(R_0+R_1+R_2) &= (T_l - e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^2)(T_l - z^2)R_0+\\ &+(T_l - z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^2)R_1+\\ &+(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^2)(T_l - z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}z^2)R_2 =\\ =\frac{1}{3z^4}\big((T_l - e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}z^2)&(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^2) + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}(T_l - z^2)(T_l - e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}z^2)+\\ &+e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}(T_l - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}z^2)(T_l - z^2)\big)\delta(r-s) = \delta(r-s).\end{aligned}$$

It is this equation that shows that our function $R(r,s;z)$ satisfies (21) and the necessary boundary conditions, and, therefore, represents the resolvent kernel of the self-adjoint operator $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$.

7 Discrete Spectrum

The discrete spectrum projector of the operator $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ is the sum of the residues (in variable z^6) at the poles of the resolvent (27). However the only possible pole of the latter corresponds to the zero z_p of the denominator $p(z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi = 1, \qquad l = 1, \qquad p(z) = 3z + 2e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa, \qquad z_p = -\frac{2}{3}e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa,\\
&\xi = 1, \qquad l = 2, \qquad p(z) = 2z + 3e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa, \qquad z_p = -\frac{3}{2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa,\\
&\xi = 2, \qquad l = 1, \qquad p(z) = z + 2e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa, \qquad z_p = -2e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa,\\
&\xi = 2, \qquad l = 2 \qquad p(z) = z^5 + 2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\kappa^5, \qquad z_p = -2^{1/5}e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa,
\end{aligned}$$

which falls into the sector $0 < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{3}$ only when $\kappa < 0$. In the latter case the projector sought can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
P(r,s) &= R(r,s;z)(z_p^6 - z^6)|_{z=z_p} = 6z_p^5 R(r,s;z)(z_p - z)|_{z=z_p} = \\
&= 6z_p^5 R_g(r,s;z)(z_p - z)|_{z=z_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have already dropped the parts R_k of the resolvent which contain functions growing at infinity, due to the regular behaviour of R_k in the sector $0 < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{3}$. Then one can substitute coefficients α_k, β_k, γ_k, into (32) and rearrange the terms into a full square, taking into account the conjugation relations

$$\overline{g_0(z_p, r)} = g_2(z_p, r), \quad \overline{g_1(z_p, r)} = g_1(z_p, r),$$

thus transforming the projector into canonical form

$$P(r,s) = v^\kappa(r)v^\kappa(s).$$

Here $v^\kappa(r)$ is a normalized real eigenvector determined by the following expressions: for $\xi = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1^\kappa &= \sqrt{\frac{-3}{2\kappa}}D_1\big(\exp\{\frac{2}{3}\kappa r\} + \exp\{-\frac{2i\pi}{3} + \frac{2}{3}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\} + \exp\{\frac{2i\pi}{3} + \frac{2}{3}e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\}\big),\\
v_2^\kappa &= \sqrt{\frac{-8}{27\kappa^3}}D_2\big(\exp\{\frac{3}{2}\kappa r\} + \exp\{-\frac{2i\pi}{3} + \frac{3}{2}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\} + \exp\{\frac{2i\pi}{3} + \frac{3}{2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\xi = 2$

$$v_1^\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{-1}{2\kappa}} D_1\big(\sqrt{3}\exp\{2\kappa r\} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\exp\{2e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\exp\{2e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\}\big),$$
$$v_2^\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{-1}{5\cdot 2^{3/5}\kappa^3}} D_2\big(\sqrt{3}\exp\{2^{1/5}\kappa r\} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\exp\{2^{1/5}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\} -$$
$$- e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\exp\{2^{1/5}e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\kappa r\}\big).$$

These expressions are valid when $\kappa < 0$.

8 Continuous Spectrum

It follows from the general expression for resolvent kernel of self-adjoint operators (see, *e. g.* [5]), that the density of the spectral measure of the operator $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ can be calculated as the step of the values of the resolvent at the edges of the cut. In the case of variable z: $0 < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{3}$ this step is the difference

$$P_\lambda d\lambda = \frac{6\lambda^5 d\lambda}{2\pi i}\big(R(r,s;\lambda) - R(r,s;e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)\big), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

which allows one to write a formal equality

$$T^3_{l\xi\kappa} = \int_0^\infty \lambda^6 P_\lambda d\lambda + (z_p^6 P)_{\kappa<0}.$$

The expressions for the resolvent differences are quite bulky, involving separate calculations for different ξ and l and we therefore will only give here some general constructions and the results. To begin with, we need to cancel the θ-functions in the R_k terms (30). For that we can use the following relations

$$W_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z) = W_1(z), \qquad W_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z) = W_2(z), \qquad W_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}z) = -W_0(z), \quad (33)$$
$$g_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda, r) = g_1(\lambda, r), \quad g_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda, r) = g_2(\lambda, r), \quad g_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda, r) = d_0(\lambda, r), \quad (34)$$
$$d_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda, r) = d_1(\lambda, r), \quad d_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda, r) = d_2(\lambda, r), \quad d_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda, r) = g_0(\lambda, r) \quad (35)$$

and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_0(\lambda)+R_1(\lambda)+R_2(\lambda)-R_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)-R_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)-R_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)=\\
=\frac{1}{3\lambda^4}\Big(\frac{1}{W_0(\lambda)}\big(d_0(r)g_0(s)\theta(s-r)+d_0(s)g_0(r)\theta(r-s)\big)+\\
+\frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}}{W_1(\lambda)}\big(d_1(r)g_1(s)\theta(s-r)+d_1(s)g_1(r)\theta(r-s)\big)+\\
+\frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}}{W_2(\lambda)}\big(d_2(r)g_2(s)\theta(s-r)+d_2(s)g_2(r)\theta(r-s)\big)-\\
-\frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}}{W_1(\lambda)}\big(d_1(r)g_1(s)\theta(s-r)+d_1(s)g_1(r)\theta(r-s)\big)-\\
-\frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}}{W_2(\lambda)}\big(d_2(r)g_2(s)\theta(s-r)+d_2(s)g_2(r)\theta(r-s)\big)+\\
+\frac{1}{W_0(\lambda)}\big(g_0(r)d_0(s)\theta(s-r)+g_0(s)d_0(r)\theta(r-s)\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

In this form, it is quite easy now to get rid of everything that contains the growing functions $d_1(\lambda,r)$ and $d_2(\lambda,r)$, while in the rest of the terms we gather θ-functions into unities (31), and find

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_0(\lambda)+R_1(\lambda)+R_2(\lambda)-R_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)-R_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)-R_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)=\\
=\frac{1}{3\lambda^4W_0(\lambda)}\big(d_0(r)g_0(s)+d_0(s)g_0(r)\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter expression combined with the difference $R_g(\lambda)-R_g(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&3\lambda^4\big(R(r,s;\lambda)-R(r,s;e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)\big)=\\
&=\frac{1}{W_0}\big(d_0(r)\overline{d_0(s)}+g_0(r)\overline{g_0(s)}\big)+\frac{\alpha_0(\lambda)}{W_0}g_0(r)\overline{d_0(s)}+\frac{\alpha_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)}{W_0}d_0(r)\overline{g_0(s)}+\\
&+\frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}}{W_1(\lambda)}\big(\beta_1(\lambda)-\beta_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)\big)\big(g_2(r)\overline{g_2(s)}+g_1(r)\overline{g_1(s)}\big)+\\
&+\frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}}{W_1}\big(\alpha_1(\lambda)-\alpha_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)\big)g_1(r)\overline{g_2(s)}+\frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}}{W_2}\big(\alpha_2(\lambda)-\alpha_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)\big)g_2(r)\overline{g_1(s)}-\\
&-\frac{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\gamma_0(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)}{W_1}\big(d_0(r)\overline{g_2(s)}+g_1(r)\overline{g_0(s)}\big)+\frac{\gamma_0(\lambda)}{W_0}\big(g_2(r)\overline{d_0(s)}+g_0(r)\overline{g_1(s)}\big)-\\
&-\frac{e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}\beta_1(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)}{W_2}\big(d_0(r)\overline{g_1(s)}+g_2(r)\overline{g_0(s)}\big)+\frac{\beta_0(\lambda)}{W_0}\big(g_1(r)\overline{d_0(s)}+g_0(r)\overline{g_2(s)}\big),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have also taken into account the conjugation relations

$$\overline{g_0(\lambda,r)} = d_0(\lambda,r), \quad \overline{g_1(\lambda,r)} = g_2(\lambda,r).$$

Using the explicit expressions for the Wronskians (28), (29) and coefficients α_k, β_k, γ_k, the resolvent difference can be represented as a "square" of an eigenvector of the continuous spectrum

$$P_\lambda = \frac{6\lambda^5}{2\pi i}\big(R(r,s;\lambda) - R(r,s;e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\lambda)\big) = u^\lambda_\kappa(r)u^\lambda_\kappa(s),$$

where, for $\xi = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
u^\lambda_{1\kappa}(r) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} D_1\big(\exp\{-i\phi_1 + i\lambda r\} - \exp\{i\phi_1 - i\lambda r\} + \\
&\quad + \frac{2\kappa}{|p_1|}(\exp\{\frac{i\pi}{6} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\} - \exp\{-\frac{i\pi}{6} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\})\big) \\
u^\lambda_{2\kappa}(r) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda^2} D_1\big(\exp\{\frac{i\pi}{6} - i\phi_2 + i\lambda r\} - \exp\{-\frac{i\pi}{6} + i\phi_2 - i\lambda r\} + \\
&\quad + \frac{2\lambda}{|p_2|}(\exp\{-\frac{i\pi}{6} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\} - \exp\{\frac{i\pi}{6} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\})\big)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$e^{2i\phi_1} = \frac{p_1(\lambda)}{\bar{p}_1(\lambda)} = \frac{3\lambda + 2e^{i\pi/6}\kappa}{3\lambda + 2e^{-i\pi/6}\kappa}, \quad e^{2i\phi_2} = \frac{p_2(\lambda)}{\bar{p}_2(\lambda)} = \frac{2\lambda + 3e^{i\pi/6}\kappa}{2\lambda + 3e^{-i\pi/6}\kappa},$$

while for $\xi = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
u^\lambda_{1\kappa}(r) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} D_1\big(\exp\{-i\phi_1 + i\lambda r\} + \exp\{i\phi_1 - i\lambda r\} - \\
&\quad - 2\frac{\kappa + e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda}{|p_1|}\exp\{\frac{i\pi}{6} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\} - 2\frac{\kappa + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda}{\lambda|p_1|}\exp\{-\frac{i\pi}{6} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\}\big), \\
u^\lambda_{2\kappa}(r) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda^2} D_2\big(\exp\{-i\phi_2 + i\lambda r\} - \exp\{i\phi_2 - i\lambda r\} - \\
&\quad - 2\frac{\lambda^5 - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa^5}{|p_2|}\exp\{-e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\} - 2\frac{\lambda^5 - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}\kappa^5}{\lambda^5|p_2|}\exp\{-e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\lambda r\}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

here

$$e^{2i\phi_1} = \frac{p_1(\lambda)}{\bar{p}_1(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda + 2e^{i\pi/6}\kappa}{\lambda + 2e^{-i\pi/6}\kappa}, \quad e^{2i\phi_2} = \frac{p_2(\lambda)}{\bar{p}_2(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^5 - 2e^{-i\pi/6}\kappa^5}{\lambda^5 - 2e^{i\pi/6}\kappa^5}.$$

9 Conclusion and Discussions

As we have already argued above, the real functions on the three-dimensional space which make up the transverse fields, are related to the domains of the operators $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ via the coefficient of r^{-2}. Therefore the boundary conditions (6) for $\xi = 1$ (the vanishing of the value of the function and its first derivative) in terms of the original problem is just a requirement of the regularity and finite behaviour at the origin.

The boundary conditions for $\xi = 2$, in terms of the representation of a function in the form (19), imply the absence of the fifth power in the expansion in r of the sum of exponents. Then, when acting on such a sum with D_l, for $l = 1$ the fourth power will be absent, while for $l = 2$ there will be no third power. Rephrased in the terms of general form coefficients a_k from expression (19) the latter requirement reads as

$$\sum_k e_k^5 a_k = \sum_k e_k^{-1} a_k = 0.$$

For a quadratic form of the operator $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ taken to the power $1/2$ this condition may imply the presence in the physical question of the transverse functions with slow decrease at infinity. In other words, introduction of the dimensional parameter κ and singularity in the origin, allow for the appearance of a long-range action in the problem.

The spectral decomposition

$$u_l^\lambda(r) \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\lambda^l} D_l(\sin \lambda r) \tag{36}$$

which can be found from that of an essentially self-adjoint operator

$$T_l = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}, \quad l \geq 1,$$

by consequent raising of the spectral parameter to the third power, corresponds the extensions $\kappa = 0$ for $l = 1$ and $\kappa = +\infty$ for $l = 2$ — all for the boundary conditions $\xi = 1$. For non-trivial values of κ the expansion (36) is only found in an asymptotic limit at large λ with $l = 1$, or at small λ with $l = 2$:

$$u_{1\kappa}^\lambda \simeq \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} D_1(e^{i\lambda r} - e^{-i\lambda r}), \quad \lambda \to \infty$$

$$u_{2\kappa}^\lambda \simeq \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda^2} D_2(e^{-i\lambda r} - e^{i\lambda r}), \quad \lambda \to 0.$$

The other limits, that is, small λ with $l = 1$, and large λ with $l = 2$, give mutually similar, but less trivial asymptotics:

$$u^{\lambda}_{1\kappa} \simeq \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} D_1 (e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}+i\lambda r} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}-i\lambda r} + e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}-e^{-i\pi/6}\lambda r} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}-e^{i\pi/6}\lambda r}), \quad \lambda \to 0, \tag{37}$$

$$u^{\lambda}_{2\kappa} \simeq \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda^2} D_2 (e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}-i\lambda r} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}+i\lambda r} + e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}-e^{-i\pi/6}\lambda r} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}-e^{i\pi/6}\lambda r}), \quad \lambda \to \infty. \tag{38}$$

Therefore, for the case of boundary conditions $\xi = 1$ we have a certain duality between the behaviour of the spectral density at small λ, $l = 1$ and large λ, $l = 2$, and vice versa.

Different self-adjoint extensions $T^3_{l\xi\kappa}$ with boundary conditions $\xi = 1$ and $\xi = 2$ have a single intersection (a common extension): for angular momentum $l = 2$ the extensions with parameters $\kappa = 0$, $\xi = 1$ match that with $\kappa = +\infty$, $\xi = 2$ and they correspond to a spectral density given by expression (38), which now is exact (the discrete spectrum is absent). Such an extension has the characteristic properties of the absence of a dimensional parameter, regular behaviour in the origin with coefficient r^{-2} and permissibility of a slow decrease at infinity.

Concluding this work, we can say that we have constructed the spectral expansions of self-adjoint extensions of a differential operator of the sixth order of the type (1), corresponding to the boundary conditions (6), (8), (9) for the cases of the orbital momentum $l = 1$ and $l = 2$. All the extensions have continuous spectrum of multiplicity one, occupying the real positive semi-axis. Besides that, for negative values of the parameter κ there is a negative eigenvalue corresponding to one-dimensional eigenspace.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to P. Bolokhov for the discussion which led to the idea of the present study and to the St. Petersburg department of V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute for a favourable working environment.

References

[1] T. A. Bolokhov, "Extensions of the quadratic form of the transverse Laplace operator", arXiv:1405.2004.

[2] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[3] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness*, Academic Press, 1975.

[4] R. D. Richtmyer, *Principles of Advanced Mathematical Physics, vol.1*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heildelberg Berlin, 1978.

[5] N. Dunford, J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part II. Spectral theory. Self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, New York–London, Interscience Publication, Division of John Wiley & Sons, 1964.